



REEVALUATING ARTIFICIAL INSEMINATION IN TODAY'S CATTLE MARKET

Pedro Fontes

Department of Animal and Dairy Science

What artificial insemination brings to commercial cow-calf herds?

Estrus synchronization and artificial insemination have been around for decades, but their value for commercial cow-calf operations is often underestimated. When properly implemented, these tools can reshape reproductive efficiency and, consequently, profitability. Synchronization tightens the calving window, resulting in a more uniform calf crop and heavier weaning weights. Getting more cows bred early in the season also results in more pounds of calf weaned per cow exposed, which is a critical driver of herd productivity.

Artificial insemination also adds another layer of advantage. It gives producers access to an unmatched selection of proven sires, allowing producers to target the exact traits they want to improve, whether that's calving ease, growth, carcass quality, feed efficiency, or maternal function. Whatever the herd goal is, there is a bull available that fits that goal. This flexibility is nearly impossible to achieve through natural service alone. Artificial insemination also minimizes the long-term costs and risks tied to owning multiple bulls, while accelerating the rate of genetic progress of the next calf crop. All these benefits are well documented, but what makes them even more compelling today is how the current cattle market has shifted the economics of reproduction in favor of artificial insemination.

Breeding method and cost per pregnancy

Regardless of whether a producer relies on bulls or artificial insemination, every pregnancy carries a cost. Feed, labor, and management all contribute, but one of the most overlooked factors is the cost of the breeding method itself. In today's market, that number has changed dramatically.



The recent increase in cattle prices has pushed bull prices to record highs, increasing the cost per pregnancy achieved through natural service. At the same time, the cost of artificial insemination programs (semen, synchronization products, and technician fees) has remained relatively stable over the last few years. When you take these two trends into consideration, it becomes clear that current market conditions have made artificial insemination a more viable and economically attractive option for a broader range of commercial operations. While the economics will always vary between herds, the recent rise in bull prices and the stability of artificial insemination costs have made it worth re-evaluating how artificial insemination fits within each producer's reproductive strategy.

Understanding the true cost of a bull

When evaluating breeding costs, it's easy to focus on the purchase price of a bull. The real cost of natural service, however, also includes bull feed costs, health management, depreciation, and the number of cows he actually settles each year. For example, a \$7,000 bull used for three breeding seasons and breeding 25 cows per year represents a purchase cost of roughly \$93 per cow exposed, even before accounting for feed, pasture, and veterinary expenses. If that bull fails a breeding soundness exam or gets injured, the cost per pregnancy increases even more.

As bull prices rise, so does the cost of every pregnancy achieved through natural service. That doesn't mean producers should avoid buying bulls, but it does highlight how quickly ownership costs can accumulate, and why it makes sense to look at artificial insemination as a complementary, rather than competing, breeding strategy.

Artificial insemination costs

While the cost of purchasing and maintaining bulls has increased sharply in recent years, the expenses associated with synchronization products, semen, and technician services have changed more slowly than many other production inputs. This has helped maintain the relative affordability of artificial insemination programs.

Depending on the program design and labor availability, the total cost per cow exposed to artificial insemination often ranges between \$35 and \$65. That



investment provides access to proven sires with dependable data for calving ease, growth, carcass merit, and fertility. Unlike natural service sires, semen straws do not carry feed costs, injury risk, or the same level of depreciation. The ability to use semen from multiple bulls in the same season also provides unmatched genetic flexibility. By spreading genetic investment across several proven sires, producers can pursue specific herd goals while minimizing the risk associated with individual genetic selection decisions. This balanced approach allows for targeted improvement without substantially increasing reproductive costs, a combination that is difficult to achieve with natural service alone.

Evaluating return on investment

Ultimately, the decision to incorporate artificial insemination comes down to return on investment. Beyond upfront costs, the focus should be on how different strategies affect overall herd productivity and long-term progress. Artificial insemination programs can influence both by increasing the proportion of cows bred early in the season and by improving the genetic consistency of the calf crop. These outcomes often translate into more total pounds weaned and greater uniformity. Because every operation has its own costs, goals, and labor resources, the economics of artificial insemination is not the same everywhere. However, in today's environment of elevated calf and bull prices, more herds may find that artificial insemination programs can fit their system and contribute to long-term profitability when implemented efficiently and paired with good management.

Taking advantage of the moment

Periods of strong cattle markets provide an opportunity to reinvest in the herd. For many operations, this may be the right time to evaluate whether estrus synchronization and artificial insemination can strengthen their breeding program. Even small-scale implementation, such as synchronizing and inseminating heifers or a select group of mature cows, can help producers gain experience, improve genetics, and measure the results within their own system. Extension agents, veterinarians, AI technicians, and semen representatives can assist with selecting protocols and identifying sires that align with herd goals. With careful planning, producers can take advantage of current market conditions not only to improve short-term returns but also to make genetic gains that will benefit the herd for years to come.